The Situation Model Framework: Implications for the Design of Cognitive Architectures

Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives ZiF Zentrum für interdisziplinäre Forschung Center for Interdisciplinary Research



2 July 2020

David Vernon Carnegie Mellon University Africa

www.vernon.eu

Thanks to the **ZiF**





















Explicit & symbolic

Representations denote external objects

Isomorphic

Absolute and accessible ontology

That is consistent with human expression

Explicit & symbolic

Representations denote external objects

Isomorphic

Absolute and accessible ontology

That is consistent with human expression





Robots That Use the Web as an Information Resource



By Moritz Tenorth, Ulrich Klank, Dejan Pangercic,and Michael Beetz

Web-Enabled Robots

- Physical symbol system approach to Al
- Intelligence
 - Principle of rationality [Newell 82]

'If an agent has knowledge that one of its actions will lead to one of its goals, then the agent will select that action'

- Rational analysis [Anderson 89]

'The cognitive system optimizes the adaptation of the behaviour of the organism'.

Physical Symbol Systems

[Newell and Simon 1976]

Computer Science as Empirical Inquiry: Symbols and Search

The Physical Symbol System Hypothesis

A physical symbol system has the necessary and sufficient means of general intelligence

Allen Newell and Herbert A. Simon



Computer science is the study of the phenomena surrounding computers. The founders of this society understood this very well when they called themselves the Association for Computing Machinery. The machine—not just the hardware, but the programmed, living machine—is the organism we study. This is the tenth Turing Lecture. The nine persons

This is the tenth Turing Lecture. The nine persons who preceded us on this platform have presented nine different views of computer science. For our organism, the machine, can be studied at many levels and from many sides. We are deeply honored to appear here today and to present yet another view, the one that has permeated the scientific work for which we have been

Key Words and Phrases: symbols, search, science, computer science, empirical, Turing, artificial intelligence, intelligence, list processing, cognition, heuristics, problem solving. CR Categories: 1.0, 2.1, 3.3, 3.6, 5.7.

Copyright © 196, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

The authors' research over the years has been supported in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense (monitored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research) and in part by the National Institutes of Mental Health.

Authors' address: Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh,

Communications	March 1976
of	Volume 19
the ACM	Number 3

113

Physical Symbol Systems

[Newell and Simon 1976]

Computer Science as Empirical Inquiry: Symbols and Search

The Heuristic Search Hypothesis

The task of intelligence is to avert the ever-present threat of the exponential explosion of search

Allen Newell and Herbert A. Simon



Key Words and Phrases: symbols, search, science, computer science, empirical, Turing, artificial intelligence, intelligence, list processing, cognition, heuristics, problem solving. CR Categories: 1.0, 2.1, 3.3, 3.6, 5.7.

Copyright (© 1976, Association for Computing Machinery, Inc. General permission to republish, but not for profit, all or part of this material is granted provided that ACM's copyright notice is given and that reference is made to the publication, Computer science is the study of the phenomena surrounding computers. The founders of this society understood this very well when they called themselves the Association for Computing Machinery. The machine—not just the hardware, but the programmed, living machine—is the organism we study.

This is the tenth Turing Lecture. The nine persons who preceded us on this platform have presented nine different views of computer science. For our organism, the machine, can be studied at many levels and from many sides. We are deeply honored to appear here today and to present yet another view, the one that has permeated the scientific work for which we have been

to its date of issue, and to the fact that reprinting privileges were granted by permission of the Association for Computing Machinery.

The authors' research over the years has been supported in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense (monitored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research) and in part by the National Institutes of Mental Health.

Authors' address: Carnegie-Mellon University, Pittsburgh

Communications	March 1976
of	Volume 19
the ACM	Number 3

113

Physical Symbol Systems

[Newell and Simon 1976]



Unified Theories of Cognition

- Attempts to explain all the mechanisms of all problems in its domain
- Applies to both natural and artificial cognition

























[Note: this ideogram and similar ones to follow were introduced in Maturana and Varela 1987]



Anticipation / Plannin

Autonomous system with a nervous system capable of development Anticipation / Planning / Explanation / Prediction

[Note: this ideogram and similar ones to follow were introduced in Maturana and Varela 1987]





- 1. Computational operation
- 2. Representational framework
- 3. Semantic grounding
- 4. Temporal constraints
- 5. Inter-agent epistemology
- 6. Embodiment
- 7. Perception
- 8. Action
- 9. Anticipation
- 10. Adaptation
- 11. Motivation
- 12. Autonomy
- 13. Cognition
- 14. Philosophical foundation

[Vernon, Von Hofsten, Fadiga 2010]

The Cognitivist Paradigm vs. the Emergent Paradigm			
Characteristic	Cognitivist	Emergent	
Computational Operation	Syntactic manipulation of symbols	Concurrent self-organization of a network	
Representational Framework	Patterns of symbol tokens	Global system states	
Semantic Grounding	Percept-symbol association	Skill construction	
Temporal Constraints	Atemporal	Synchronous real-time entrainment	
Inter-agent epistemology	Agent-independent	Agent-dependent	
Embodiment	No role implied: functionalist	Direct constitutive role: non-functionalist	
Perception	Abstract symbolic representations	Perturbation by the environment	
Action	Causal consequence of symbol manipulation	Perturbation by the system	
Anticipation	Procedural or probabilistic reasoning	Traverse of perception-action state space	
Adaptation	Learn new knowledge	Develop new dynamics	
Motivation	Criteria for goal selection	Increase space of interaction	
Autonomy	Not entailed	Cognition entails autonomy	
Cognition	Rational goal-achievement	Self-maintenance and self-development	
Philosophical Foundation	Positivism	Phenomenology	







Hybrid Models


Hybrid Models



Reconcile all differences, including antagonistic philosophical foundations

Hybrid Models







Cognitive Architectures Forums

BICA Society Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures Society

http://bicasociety.org/



Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures

BZCA

> Supports Open Access

Editor in Chief: A. Samsonovich

https://www.journals.elsevier.com/biologically-inspired-cognitive-architectures/



Cognitive Systems Research

Editor-in-Chief: T. R. Besold

> View Editorial Board

> CiteScore: 3.2 ⁽ⁱ⁾ Impact Factor: 1.902 ⁽ⁱ⁾

ISSN: 1389-0417

https://www.journals.elsevier.com/cognitive-systems-research





DRAFT MATERIAL: LIMITED DISTRIBUTION FOR COMMENT. NOT FOR QUOTATION File: C3HCA.MSS Current version: 04 August 87 17:44 Started: 25 Jul 87 UNIFIED THEORIES OF COGNITION **CHAPTER 3** HUMAN COGNITIVE ARCHITECTURE DKAF I I Allen Newell 4 August 1987 Departments of Computer Science and Psychology Carnegie-Mellon University Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213 D. 16 (where does it go ?) 2 questions questions

http://digitalcollections.library.cmu.edu/awweb/awarchive?type=file&item=352120

Attempts to create **Unified Theories of Cognition (UTC)**

UTCs cover a broad range of cognitive issues:

- Attention
- Memory
- Problem solving
- Decision making
- Learning
- ...

from several aspects:

Psychology

...

- Neuroscience
- Computer Science



[Byrne 03]

An embodiment of a **scientific hypothesis** about those aspects of **human cognition** that are:

- relatively **constant over time** and
- relatively **independent of task**

[Ritter & Young 2001]

- Generic computational model:
 - Not domain-specific
 - Not task-specific
- Knowledge provides the required specificity:

Cognitive Architecture + Knowledge = **Cognitive Model**

[Lehman et al. 1997, also Anderson & Labiere 1998, Newell 1990]

Overall structure and organization of a cognitive system

- Essential Modules
- Essential relations between these modules
- Essential algorithmic and representational details in each module



[Sun 2007]

Emergent approaches focus on development

- From a primitive state
- To fully cognitive state, over the system's lifetime



- Two different views of development
 - Individual
 - Social
- Two different theories of cognitive development
 - Jean Piaget (1896-1980)
 - Lev Vygotsky (1896-1934)





The cognitive architecture is the system's phylogenetic configuration

- The basis for ontogenesis: growth and development
 - Innate skills
 - Core knowledge (cf. Spelke)
- A structure in which to embed mechanisms for
 - Perception
 - Action
 - Adaptation
 - Anticipation
 - Motivation
 - ... Development of all these

Strong focus on

- Autonomy-preserving anticipatory adaptive skill construction
- The morphology of the physical body in which the architecture is embedded

The emergent approach rejects:

- **Dualism** between mind and body
- Functionalism that treats cognitive mechanisms independently of the physical platform
 - Computational functionalism
 - Robotic functionalism

Ziemke, T., The body of knowledge: On the role of the living body in grounding embodied cognition. BioSystems (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biosystems.2016.08.005 Desirable Characteristics of a Cognitive Architecture

- Realism •
- **Behavioral Characteristics** •
- **Cognitive Characteristics** ٠

Routledge

PHILOSOPHICAL PSYCHOLOGY, VOL. 17, NO. 3, SEPTEMBER 2004

Desiderata for cognitive architectures

Ron Sun

- **Functional Capabilities** ٠
- Development .
- **Dynamics** .

[Langley et al. 2009, Sun 2007]

[Krichmar & Edelman 2006, 2007; Vernon et al. 2016]

Realism [Sun 2004]:

- 1. Ecological realism
- 2. Bio-evolutionary realism
- 3. Cognitive realism
- 4. Inclusiveness of prior perspectives















[Sun 2004]



[Sun 2004]



[Sun 2004]



[Langley et al. 2009]

- Recognition & categorization
- Decision-making & choice
- Perception & situation assessment
- Prediction & monitoring
- Problem solving & planning
- Reasoning & belief maintenance
- Execution & action
- Interaction & communication
- 9. Remembering, reflection, & learning



PHILOSOPHICAL PSYCHOLOGY, VOL. 17, NO. 3, SEPTEMBER 2004

Routledge Taylor & Francis Group

Desiderata for cognitive architectures

RON SUN



Development

Desideratum 1. Value systems and motives

Desideratum 2. Physical embodiment

Desideratum 3. Sensorimotor contingencies

Desideratum 4. Perception

Desideratum 5. Attention

Desideratum 6. Prospective action

Desideratum 7. Declarative and procedural memory

Desideratum 8. Multiple modes of learning

Desideratum 9. Internal simulation

Desideratum 10. Constitutive autonomy



Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures

Volume 18, October 2016, Pages 116-127



Research article
Desiderata for developmental cognitive architectures
David Vernon^{a, A}, M, Claes von Hofsten^b, Luciano Fadiga^{c, d}
Show more

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bica.2016.10.004

Get rights and content

Organizational decomposition

- Explicit inter-connectivity
- Representational formalism
- Algorithmic formalism



Framework in which to embed knowledge

- Memories
- Formalisms for learning
- Programming mechanism

Phylogeny - basis for development

- Innate skills & core knowledge
- Memories
- Formalism for autonomy
- Formalism for development



GLAIR [Shapiro & Bona 2009] CoSy [Hawes & Wyatt 2008]



iCub [Vernon et al. 2010] Global Workspace [Shanahan 2006] SASE [Weng 2004] Darwin [Krichmar et al. 2005] Cognitive Affective [Morse et al 2008]



Surveys:

Biologically Inspired Cognitive Architectures Society, Comparative Repository of Cognitive Architectures, http://bicasociety.org/cogarch/architectures.htm [25 cognitive architectures]

A Survey of Cognitive and Agent Architectures, University of Michigan, http://ai.eecs.umich.edu/cogarch0/ (12 cognitive architectures)

W. Duch, R. J. Oentaryo, and M. Pasquier. "Cognitive Architectures: Where do we go from here?", Proc. Conf. Artificial General Intelligence, 122-136, 2008. [17 cognitive architectures]

D. Vernon, G. Metta, and G. Sandini, "A Survey of Artificial Cognitive Systems: Implications for the Autonomous Development of Mental Capabilities in Computational Agents", IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp. 151-180, 2007. [14 cognitive architectures]

D. Vernon, C. von Hofsten, and L. Fadiga. "A Roadmap for Cognitive Development in Humanoid Robots", Cognitive Systems Monographs (COSMOS), Vol. 11, Springer, 2011. Chapter 5 and Appendix I (20 cognitive architectures)



I. Kotseruba and J. Tsotsos. 40 years of cognitive architectures: core cognitive abilities and practical applications. Artificial Intelligence Review, Vol. 53, No. 1, pp. 17-94, 2020. [84 cognitive architectures]




I. Kotseruba and J. Tsotsos. 40 years of cognitive architectures: core cognitive abilities and practical applications. Artificial Intelligence Review, Vol. 53, No. 1, pp. 17-94, 2020.

Core Cognitive Abilities

- 1. Perception
- 2. Attention
- 3. Action selection
- 4. Memory
- 5. Learning
- 6. Reasoning
- 7. Meta-cognition

I. Kotseruba and J. Tsotsos. 40 years of cognitive architectures: core cognitive abilities and practical applications. Artificial Intelligence Review, Vol. 53, No. 1, pp. 17-94, 2020. A Standard Model of the Mind



A Standard Model of the Mind

Laird, J. E., Lebiere, C., Rosenbloom, P. S. A standard model of the mind: Toward a common computational framework across artificial intelligence, cognitive science, neuroscience, and robotics. Al Magazine 38 (4):13–26, 2017.

Rosenbloom, P. S., Laird, J. E., and Lebiere, C. Précis of a 'Standard Model of the Mind', Advances in Cognitive Systems, Vol. 5, pp. 1-4, 2017.

Stocco, A., Laird, J., Lebiere, C., and Rosenbloom, R. Empirical evidence from neuroimaging data for a standard model of the mind. In: Kalish, C., Rau, M., Zhou, J., Rogers, T. T. (eds) Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society, pp. 1094–1099, 2018.

AAAI 2018 Fall Symposium on A Common Model of Cognition October 18-20, Westin Arlington Gateway Arlington, Virginia

Home

Organizing Committee Call for Participation Registration Schedule 2017 AAAI Fall Symposium on a 'Standard Model of the Mind' 2017 Schedule and Slides

2018 AAAI Fall Symposium on 'A Common Model of the Cognition'

A mind is a functional entity that can think, and thus support intelligent behavior. Artificial intelligence, cognitive science, neuroscience, and robotics all contribute to our understanding of minds, although each draws from a different perspective. Artificial intelligence concerns building artificial minds, and thus cares most about how systems can be built that exhibit intelligent behavior. Cognitive science concerns modeling natural minds, and thus cares most about understanding cognitive processes that yield human thought. Neuroscience concerns the structure and function of brains, and thus cares most about how brains induce minds. Robotics concerns building and directing artificial bodies, and thus cares most about how minds control such bodies.

Will research across these disciplines ultimately converge on a single understanding of mind? This is a deep scientific question to which there is as yet no answer. However, there must at least be a single answer for cognitive science and neuroscience, as they both investigate the same mind, or narrow class of minds, albeit at different levels of abstraction. Research that is inspired by natural systems also may fit within this class of minds, particularly if it is slightly abstracted; but so too may research that has no such aspiration yet still finds itself in the same neighborhood for functional reasons. This broader class comprises what can be called human-like minds.

Our goal with this symposium is to engage the international research community in developing *A Common Model of Cognition*; that is, a community consensus concerning the mental structures and process implicated in human-like minds to the extent that such a consensus exists. The intent, at least for the foreseeable future, is not to develop a single implementation or model of cognition by which everyone concerned with human-like cognition would abide, or even a theory in which all of the details are agreed to as correct. What is sought though is a statement of the best consensus given the community's current understanding of cognition. plus a sound basis for further refinement as more is





- cf. Standard Model in particle physics:
- For human-like minds
 - cumulative reference point ... combines what is known
 - focus efforts to extend or revise
 - Not intended to be complete theory / model / implementation
 - Omissions: statement that a consensus is needed

Hypothesis

"Cognitive architectures provide the appropriate computational abstraction for defining a standard model"

Standard model is not itself a cognitive architecture

- Evaluate single components
- Evaluate combinations of components
- Make components openly available to the research community
- Facilitate standard tests / testbeds

Key aspects

- Structure and processing
- Memory and content
- Learning
- Perception
- Motor (action)



Motor

- Converts the symbol structures & metadata into external action
- Controlling body effectors
- "No consensus as the the form this should take in the standard model"



"The standard model ... remains incomplete ... [and] is silent, for example, concerning

meta-cognition

emotion

mental imagery

direct communication and learning across modules,

the distinction between semantic and episodic memory

and mechanisms necessary for social cognition"

Operational Cognitive Architectures



G. Kraetzschmar, Software Engineering Factors for Cognitive Robotics, RockEU2 Robotics Coordination Action for Europe Two, Deliverable 3.5, 2017. https://www.eu-robotics.net/eurobotics/about/projects/rockeu2.html



The ZiF Research Group on

Cognitive behavior of humans, animals, and machines: Situation model perspectives





Flexible Context-sensitive Behaviour

Marr's Hierarchy of Abstraction

(aka The Levels of Understanding Framework)



D. Marr and T. Poggio. "From understanding computation to understanding neural circuitry", in E. Poppel, R. Held, and J. E. Dowling, editors, Neuronal Mechanisms in Visual Perception, volume 15 of Neurosciences Research Program Bulletin, pages 470–488. 1977.

D. Marr. Vision. Freeman, San Francisco, 1982.

T. Poggio. The levels of understanding framework, revised. Perception, 41:1017–1023, 2012.

Marr's Hierarchy of Abstraction

(aka The Levels of Understanding Framework)

"Trying to understand perception by studying only neurons is like trying to understand bird flight by studying only feathers: it just cannot be done. In order to understand bird flight, we have to understand aerodynamics; only then do the structure of feathers and the different shapes of birds' wings make sense"

Marr, D. Vision, Freeman, 1982.



Marr's Hierarchy of Abstraction

(aka The Levels of Understanding Framework)



The goal of the Situation Model Framework is to set out in explicit terms the assumptions – foundations – on which to build such a theory

Three Foundational Themes:

- 1. Control of action: integrative process in cognition
- 2. Complex behaviours emerge by scaffolding simpler behaviours
- **3**. Internal Attention is a prioritizing control mechanism:





https://www.aboutcivil.org/pile-foundations-design-construction.html



Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives



























Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives




Laird, J. E., Lebiere, C. & Rosenbloom, P. S. . A Standard Model of the Mind: Toward a Common Computational Framework across Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Science, Neuroscience, and Robotics. Al Magazine, 2017.



Laird, J. E., Lebiere, C. & Rosenbloom, P. S. . A Standard Model of the Mind: Toward a Common Computational Framework across Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Science, Neuroscience, and Robotics. Al Magazine, 2017.



Laird, J. E., Lebiere, C. & Rosenbloom, P. S. . A Standard Model of the Mind: Toward a Common Computational Framework across Artificial Intelligence, Cognitive Science, Neuroscience, and Robotics. Al Magazine, 2017.







elements in a cohesive narrative



Mechanisms for constructing, simulating, enacting, refining, and assimilating behavioural episodes



Behavioural episode

- Joint perception-action representation
- Captures causal relationships between objects, scenes, actions, action outcomes





Probehandeln



Trial treatment: mental execution of an action or consideration of alternative actions to reach a decision

https://www.spektrum.de/lexikon/psychologie/probehandeln-internes/11849



































Episodic Memory



Past events are reconstructed ...

The Past

Episodic Memory



The Future

Past events areTo allow the agentreconstructed ...to pre-experience the future

The Past

Episodic Future Thinking



The Past

Past events areTo allow the agentreconstructed ...to pre-experience the future

C. M. Atance and D. K. O'Neill, "Episodic future thinking," Trends in Cognitive Sciences, vol. 5, no. 12, pp. 533–539, 2001.

Constructive Episodic Simulation Hypothesis



The Past

Past events areTo allow the agentreconstructed ...to pre-experience the future

D. L. Schacter and D. R. Addis, "The cognitive neuroscience of constructive memory: Remembering the past and imagining the future," Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, vol. 362, pp. 773–786, 2007.




























Internal Simulation & **Doing Nothing**

"the brain --- one's mind --- is automatically busy with extrapolation of future events and ... constructing alternative hypothetical behavioral patterns in order to be ready for what may happen next"

> resting wakefulness; on the functional autonomy of the conscious state". Acta Neurologica Scandinavica, 60(1):12-25, 1979.

Two-system Cognitive Internal Approach Map Attention Novel Construction Working Memory Internal Simulation Meta-Refinement cognition **Behavioral Episodes** Object Action Outcom Scene D. H. Ingvar. "Hyperfrontal distribution of the cerebal grey matter flow in Scaffolding Behavi nal Atte





M. P. Shanahan. Cognition, action selection, and inner rehearsal. In Proceedings IJCAI Workshop on Modelling Natural Action Selection, pages 92–99, 2005.

M. P. Shanahan. A cognitive architecture that combines internal simulation with a global workspace. Consciousness and Cognition, 15:433–449, 2006.



Global workspace model:

sequence of states emerge from multiple competing and cooperating parallel processes



Global workspace model:

sequence of states emerge from multiple competing and cooperating parallel processes









K. Kawamura, S. M. Gordon, P. Ratanaswasd, E. Erdemir, and J. F. Hall. Implementation of cognitive control for a humanoid robot. International Journal of Humanoid Robotics, 5(4):547–586, 2008.









Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives



When a task is assigned by a human, the FRA retrieves the skill from procedural memory in LTM that corresponds to the skill described in the task information





The Activator Agent then executes it, suspending execution whenever a reactive response is required

ISAC





Recalls from episodic memory past experiences and behaviours that contain information similar to the current task



Select a behaviour-percept pair, based on the current percept in the SES, its relevance, and the likelihood of successful execution as determined by internal simulation



This is then placed in working memory and the Activator Agent executes the action

ISAC






























[Kunze and Beetz 2017]



[Kunze and Beetz 2017]

Situation Model Framework





Y. Demiris and B. Khadhouri. Hierarchical attentive multiple models for execution and recognition (HAMMER). Robotics and Autonomous Systems, 54:361 – 369, 2006.

Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives









Provides for hierarchical composition of primitive actions into more complex sequences



Internal Simulation



D. Wolpert, R. C. Miall, and M. Kawato. Internal models in the cerebellum. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 2(9):338-347, 1998.

Situation Model Framework







- 1. Decomposition, reconstruction, and recombination of behavioural episodes
- 2. Hierarchical behavioural episodes
- 3. Networks of behavioural episodes
- 4. Multiple levels of abstraction in internal simulation
- 5. Multiple timescales in internal simulation
- 6. Situation models vs. cognitive maps
- 7. Context sensitivity: what criteria are used for attention?
- 8. Autonomy: extrinsic vs. intrinsic goals

Opportunities

Episodic memory and episodic future thinking can be modulated by semantic memory

D. L. Schacter, D. R. Addis, D. Hassabis, V. C. Martin, R. N. Spreng, and K. K. Szpunar, "The future of memory: Remembering, imagining, and the brain," Neuron, vol. 76, pp. 677–694, 2012.

Situation Model Framework



Situation Model Framework











You are here: Program » Seminar Calendar » Seminar Homepage

Seminar Calendar

All Events Dagstuhl Seminars Dagstuhl Perspectives GI-Dagstuhl Seminars Summer Schools Events Research Guests Expenses Planning you visit / check-in https://www.dagstuhl.de/19021

January 6 – 11 , 2019, Dagstuhl Seminar 19021

Joint Processing of Language and Visual Data for Better Automated Understanding

Organizers

Yun Fu (Northeastern University – Boston, US) Marie-Francine Moens (KU Leuven, BE) Lucia Specia (Imperial College London, GB) Tinne Tuytelaars (KU Leuven, BE)



https://www.dagstuhl.de/en/program/calendar/semhp/?semnr=19021

Documentation

In the series Dagstuhl Reports each Dagstuhl Seminar and Dagstuhl Perspectives Workshop is documented. The seminar organizers, in cooperation with the collector, prepare a report that includes contributions from the participants' talks together with a summary of the seminar.

Download 📩 overview leaflet (PDF).

Publications

Furthermore, a comprehensive peerreviewed collection of research papers can be published in the series Dagstuhl Follow-Ups.

Dagstuhl's Impact

Please inform us when a publication was published as a result from your seminar. These publications are listed in the category Dagstuhl's Impact and are presented on a special shelf on the ground floor of the library.



Stephen Clark, "Grounded Language Learning in Virtual Environments", Dagstuhl Seminar 19021: Joint Processing of Language and Visual Data for Better Automated Understanding, January 2019.

Multimodal Hetero-associative Memory



Multimodal Hetero-associative Memory



Deep Image Captioning and Image Recall



J. Mao, W. Xu, Y. Yang, Z. Huang, and A. Yuille. Deep Captioning with Multimodal Recurrent Neural Networks (M-RNN). ICLR 2015.

Alternative Architecture



A. K. Gebreselasie. Towards a Multimodal Hetero-associative Memory based on a deep image captioning model, Research Report, Carnegie Mellon University Africa, 2020.

Multimodal Hetero-associative Memory



A. K. Gebreselasie. work in progress, Carnegie Mellon University Africa, 2020.

Time











Joint Episodic-Procedural Memory



[D.Vernon, M.Beetz, and G.Sandini. Prospection in cognitive robotics: The case for joint episodic-procedural memory. Frontiers in Robotics and Al, 2[Article 19]:1–14, 2015.]


- 1. Decomposition, reconstruction, and recombination of behavioural episodes
- 2. Hierarchical behavioural episodes
- 3. Networks of behavioural episodes
- 4. Multiple levels of abstraction in internal simulation
- 5. Multiple timescales in internal simulation
- 6. Situation models vs. cognitive maps
- 7. Context sensitivity: what criteria are used for attention?
- 8. Autonomy: extrinsic vs. intrinsic goals

It all hinges on the perceptuo-motor representation ... the behavioural episode

Situation Model Framework



Thank you for your attention! Thanks again to the **ZiF**

(Special thanks to Helge, Werner, Josefine, and Shiau-Chuen)

The Situation Model Framework: Implications for the Design of Cognitive Architectures

Cognitive Behavior of Humans, Animals, and Machines: Situation Model Perspectives ZiF Zentrum für interdisziplinäre Forschung Center for Interdisciplinary Research



2 July 2020

David Vernon Carnegie Mellon University Africa

www.vernon.eu